TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 28, 2001 LB 523

place. If there is an adequate remedy at law, then you cannot get an injunction. This is attempting to change the law in the state of Nebraska. $I^{\dagger}d$ like to ask Senator Wehrbein a question.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Wehrbein, would you respond?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wehrbein, there is no congressional mandate that this compact be entered into by any state, is there?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There is nothing in this compact that can nullify the laws of Nebraska, is that true?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I would suppose that's true, yes. I'm...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if ... oh, excuse me.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I...I'm not a lawyer but I would suppose that's true, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If, under the laws of Nebraska before you can get an injunction, you have to allege that there is no adequate remedy at law. Is it your belief that since this compact says you don't have to do that, the compact would set aside Nebraska law?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I'm not sure. I...you have a valid point. I don't know the answer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And, Senator Wehrbein, I'm not trying to embarrass you at all. Remember how bad I said the language in the compact was? And these questions and approaches are designed to demonstrate what I'm talking about. As Senator Wehrbein or anybody else begins to read some of this stuff out loud, even when he thinks it will make his point, as he reads he sees that it doesn't make his point. Not just Senator Wehrbein, this is some of the worst drafting I have ever seen. What I